

To: Fullagar, Jill[Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov]
From: Henning, Alan
Sent: Wed 11/25/2015 10:12:27 PM
Subject: RE: 2016

Jill, thanks for checking with Mary. When we are breaking new ground, it is good to know the terrain.

Have a great weekend.

BTW, I am going to leave early to get some groceries, so if you need to reach me you can call my cell Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Alan

From: Fullagar, Jill
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2015 1:45 PM
To: Henning, Alan <Henning.Alan@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: 2016

Thanks Alan! You too ☺

I thought we'd better just check with Mary, since the guidance says things like, "and in accordance with EPA grant policy blah, blah, blah..." and I don't know what all those things are or what they say.

Take care!!

jill

Jill Fullagar, Impaired Waters Coordinator

Watershed Unit, Office of Water and Watersheds

US EPA, Region 10

1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 (OWW-192)

Seattle, WA 98101-3140

(206) 553-2582, (206) 553-1280 (fax)

fullagar.jill@epa.gov

From: Henning, Alan

Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2015 1:43 PM

To: Fullagar, Jill <Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: 2016

Jill, thanks so much for your response. This is very helpful. I did let the State know that we (EPA) didn't see challenges at the fed level with their approach. They did need to consider their own policies/practices/ rules though.

Have a great Thanksgiving.

Alan

From: Fullagar, Jill

Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2015 1:26 PM

To: Henning, Alan <Henning.Alan@epa.gov>; Carlin, Jayne <Carlin.Jayne@epa.gov>;

Gutierrez, Mary <Gutierrez.Mary@epa.gov>

Cc: Croxton, Dave <Croxton.David@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: 2016

Hi Alan,

I looked through the guidance and couldn't find anything that specifically said that the state had to issue a new solicitation. I did find:

“EPA recognizes that each state has a different process, often governed or influenced by state laws, regulations, or control mechanisms that result in varying time periods for subgrants and contracts to implement projects. States should make every effort, including modifying state procedures, if appropriate, to assure that the funds are made available to project implementers as soon as possible after the grant is awarded to the state.”

Since what they outline below would be the most expeditious and efficient way to fund their subawards, it seems to me that it would be ok. Mary—can you tell us if there is other guidance outside the 319 guidance that mandates that they solicit for new projects? Thanks.

jill

Jill Fullagar, Impaired Waters Coordinator

Watershed Unit, Office of Water and Watersheds

US EPA, Region 10

1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 (OWW-192)

Seattle, WA 98101-3140

(206) 553-2582, (206) 553-1280 (fax)

fullagar.jill@epa.gov

From: Henning, Alan
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:28 PM
To: Carlin, Jayne <Carlin.Jayne@epa.gov>; Fullagar, Jill <Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov>
Cc: Croxton, Dave <Croxton.David@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: 2016

Jill and Jayne,

Below is an e-mail Ivan sent me this afternoon. Ivan Camacho is DEQ's 319 Grants Administrator. This language appears on DEQ's web site. Because of the CZARA withholdings, the State is not going to run a RFP for 319 funding in FY 2016. They have plenty of very good unfunded projects from the 2015 process which largely went unfunded because of CZARA. While this seems to be a pragmatic approach, is there any programmatic condition or legal condition that may be at play here? I reviewed the programmatic conditions in the State's 2015 grant. This issue was not mentioned, at least not on the surface. It may be embedded in the CWA Section 319 language but I haven't looked yet. I just wanted to run this by you two for your thoughts.

Alan

From: CAMACHO Ivan [<mailto:CAMACHO.Ivan@deq.state.or.us>]
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:24 PM
To: Henning, Alan <Henning.Alan@epa.gov>
Subject: 2016

The Oregon Department of Environmental Quality will not seek 319 Grant Program Request for Proposals for 2016. This is due to the number of unfunded high-priority projects submitted during the 2015 319 Grant RFP process and uncertainty for receiving full funding for the program in 2016. This uncertainty is due to unresolved issues brought to the state's attention by NOAA and EPA and associated with the state's coastal nonpoint pollution program, under EPA's Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendment (CZARA). There continues to be the potential for EPA to withhold 2016 319 Grant funds until the issues are fully resolved.

When DEQ gets more information from EPA about 2016 319 Grant funds, it will review the unfunded high-priority project proposals from the 2015 319 Grant Program RFP for consideration in 2016. We recognize that some applicants may no longer be interested or able to use the 2016 319 Grant funds. However, based on the number of 2015 grant applications received we believe there'll be a sufficient number of high-priority projects to fund in the event DEQ is funded at a level similar to what it received from EPA for the 2015 319 Grant Program.

Thank you for your continued interest in the Oregon 319 Grant Program. DEQ will continue to work with its partners to implement high-priority work to help meet our Nonpoint Source Program goals.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Ivan Camacho", enclosed within a thin black rectangular border.

Ivan Camacho

Oregon 319 Grants Administrator

Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

811 SW 6th Ave.

Portland, OR 97204

(503) 229-5088

